arXiv:gr-qc/0511060v3 5 May 2006

Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian in time dependent gravitational field

M. Leclerc

Section of Astrophysics and Astronomy, Department of Physics,
University of Athens, Greece

November 14, 2005

Abstract

It is shown by a straightforward argument that the Hamiltonian generating the time evolution of the Dirac wave function in relativistic quantum mechanics is not hermitian with respect to the covariantly defined inner product whenever the background metric is time dependent. An alternative, hermitian, Hamiltonian is found and is shown to be directly related to the canonical field Hamiltonian used in quantum field theory.

1 Hermiticity of the Dirac Hamiltonian

We start with the Dirac Lagrangian in a gravitational background (see [1])

$$L = \frac{i}{2}(\bar\psi\gamma^i \mathrm{D}_i\psi - \mathrm{D}_i\bar\psi\gamma^i\psi - m\bar\psi\psi), \tag{1}$$

with $\mathrm{D}_i\psi = \partial_i\psi - \frac{i}{4}\Gamma^{ab}{}_i\sigma_{ab}\psi$ and $\gamma^i = e^i_a\gamma^a$, where γ^a are the constant Dirac matrices and σ_{ab} the Lorentz generators. Our notations are identical to those used in [2]. Especially, we use latin indices from the begin of the alphabet $(a, b, c \dots)$ for tangent space quantities and from the middle $(i, j, k \dots)$ for curved spacetime quantities. The Lorentz connection $\Gamma^{ab}{}_i$ $(\Gamma^{ab}{}_i = -\Gamma^{ba}{}_i)$ and the tetrad e^a_i transform under a local Lorentz transformation ε^{ab} as $\delta\Gamma^{ab}{}_i = -\partial_i\varepsilon^{ab} - \Gamma^a{}_{ci}\varepsilon^{cb} - \Gamma^b{}_{ci}\varepsilon^{ac}$, $\delta e^a_i = \varepsilon^a{}_b e^b_i$. The Lagrangian (1) is invariant under such transformations if ψ undergoes the gauge transformation $\psi \to \exp{[(-i/4)\varepsilon^{ab}\sigma_{ab}]}\psi$. The Dirac equation is derived in the form

$$i\gamma^i\nabla_i\psi = m\psi, \tag{2}$$

where $\nabla_i\psi = \partial_i\psi - \frac{i}{4}\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_i\sigma_{ab}\psi$. The connection $\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_i$ is the connection that effectively couples to the spinor field. If we work in the framework of general relativity, then $\Gamma^{ab}{}_i = \Gamma^{ab}{}_i(e)$, i.e., the connection is not an independent field and can be expressed in terms of the tetrad and its derivatives. In that case, $\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_i = \Gamma^{ab}{}_i$ (and $\mathrm{D}_i = \nabla_i$). If the connection is considered to be an independent field (Poincaré gauge theory), then we have $\Gamma^{ab}{}_i = \Gamma^{ab}{}_i(e) + K^{ab}{}_i$, where $K^{ab}{}_i$ is the contortion tensor. However, only the totally antisymmetric part of $K^{ab}{}_i$ remains in the field equations. Indeed, it is not hard to see that the remaining parts cancel already in the Lagrangian (1). Thus, the effective connection is given by $\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_i = \Gamma^{ab}{}_i(e) + \tilde{K}^{ab}{}_i$, where $\tilde{K}^{ab}{}_i = e_{ci}K^{[abc]}$. Those differences between general relativity and Poincaré gauge theory are completely unrelated to the discussion of this paper, and the use of the symbols ∇_i and $\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_i$ is a convenient way of treating both cases at the same time.

For the study of the time evolution of ψ, the Schroedinger form of the Dirac equation,

$$H\psi = i\partial_t\psi, \tag{3}$$

is especially adapted. We use greek indices $\alpha, \beta \ldots$ to denote the spatial part of $a, b \ldots$ (i.e., $a = (0, \alpha)$), and indices $\mu, \nu \ldots$ for the spatial part of the spacetime indices $i, j, k \ldots$ and t for the time component, (e.g., $m = (t, \mu)$). With the metric $g_{ik} = e_i^a e_k^b \eta_{ab}$ and using $\gamma^t\gamma^t = g^{tt}$, we find explicitely

$$H = \frac{1}{g^{tt}}(\gamma^t m - i\gamma^t\gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_t\sigma_{ab}. \tag{4}$$

In the flat limit ($\tilde{\Gamma}^{ab}{}_i = 0$, $e_i^a = \delta_i^a$), this reduces to the well known expression $H = \gamma^0 m - i\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu = \beta m + \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{p}$.

The Dirac Hamiltonian in curved spacetime has been used in the past, see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 7], with the focus mainly on the coupling to gravity in the weak field limit. Although it has been shown that H is not generally hermitian [3, 4], this is not widely known, since in most relevant cases, the gravitational fields are static, and H is hermitian. In this article, we will analyze in detail the hermiticity properties of H.

Before anything can be said about the hermiticity, we have to define a scalar product. One could try to construct this product such that certain conditions, like covariance, or hermiticity of H are a result of the definition. However, there exists an even more physical requirement that leads straightforwardly to a consistent scalar product, namely the possibility of the normalization of the wave function.

Indeed, using the Dirac equation (2) and its hermitian conjugate (*hermitian conjugate* refers here only to Dirac space, i.e., the transposed complex conjugate equation), one derives the following conservation law

$$\partial_i(e\bar{\psi}\gamma^i\psi) = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $e = \det e_i^a = \sqrt{-g}$. Integrating and omitting boundary terms, we get

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int \sqrt{-g}\ \bar{\psi}\gamma^t\psi\ \mathrm{d}^3x = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int \sqrt{-g}\ \psi^\dagger(\gamma^0\gamma^t)\psi\ \mathrm{d}^3x. \tag{6}$$

Therefore, obviously, the only way we can obtain a constant in time normalization is by using the inner product

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int \sqrt{-g}\ \psi_1^\dagger(\gamma^0\gamma^t)\psi_2\ \mathrm{d}^3x, \tag{7}$$

or, in an explicitely covariant form

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int \sqrt{-g}\ \bar{\psi}_1\gamma^i\psi_2\ \mathrm{d}S_i. \tag{8}$$

This product is covariant and positive definite and the usual properties (linearity, $(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (\psi_2, \psi_1)^*$) are easily verified. In the flat limit, where $\gamma^t = \gamma^0$, it reduces to the special relativistic expression $(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int \psi_1^\dagger\ \psi_2\ \mathrm{d}^3x$. There is nothing else we could possibly require from an inner product.

With (7) or (8), it can be directly checked whether H from (6) is hermitian or not, i.e., whether we have $(\psi, H\psi) = (H\psi, \psi)$ or not. This involves, however, quite a few partial integrations and a lot of $\gamma-$matrix shuffling. Fortunately, there is a much easier way to get to the answer.

We start from equation (6) and write

$$0 = \int \left[\sqrt{-g}\ [\partial_t\psi^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^t\psi + \psi^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^t\partial_t\psi] + [(\partial_t\sqrt{-g})\psi^\dagger\gamma^0\gamma^t\psi + \sqrt{-g}\psi^\dagger\gamma^0(\partial_t\gamma^t)\psi]\ \right] \mathrm{d}^3x,$$

then use $\gamma^t_{,t} = e^t_{a,t}\gamma^a$, as well as the Dirac equation $H\psi = i\partial_t\psi$ and its conjugate $\psi^\dagger H^\dagger = -i\partial_t\psi^\dagger$. (We remind once again that $H^\dagger$ is the hermitian conjugate with respect to Dirac space only, but we take the additional convention that its differentiation operators act to the left.) After some simple manipulations, we finally find

$$0 = i[(H\psi, \psi) - (\psi, H\psi)] + (\psi, [\partial_t \ln\sqrt{-g\ g^{tt}}\ ]\psi), \tag{9}$$

showing that H is, in general, not hermitian. In view of $g^{tt}\det g_{ik} = \det g_{\mu\nu}$, this will be the case whenever the determinant of the three dimensional space metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is time dependent. Moreover, we can define a hermitian operator

$$\tilde{H} = H + \frac{i}{2}\partial_t \ln\sqrt{-gg^{tt}}, \tag{10}$$

satisfying

$$(\tilde{H}\psi, \psi) = (\psi, \tilde{H}\psi). \tag{11}$$

Thus, it turns out that the Hamiltonian in a gravitational background is not generally hermitian. We should note, however, that in most cases, $\det g_{\mu\nu}$ will be time independent. This holds notably for static and stationary spacetimes, but also for gravitational waves when the usual gauge conditions are used in the linear approximation (see [8]). Counterexamples can be found, e.g., in exact wave solutions of general relativity, as described in [8], §109, and, most importantly, in cosmological solutions [4].

Let us also remark that, as we have claimed at the begin of the section, the final conclusion is completely independent of the eventual presence of torsion fields, since the non-hermitian term in (9) depends only on the spacetime metric.

2 Field Hamiltonian

The main problem we face now is the interpretation of both H and $\tilde{H}$. On one hand, we have a non-hermitian operator, with, in general, complex eigenvalues, but with a straightforward interpretation as generator of the time evolution of ψ, see (3). On the other hand, the hermitian operator $\tilde{H}$, with real eigenvalues, was defined in a rather ad hoc manner, in order to absorb the non-hermitian terms found in (9).

It turns out, however, that there is a straightforward, canonical, way to arrive at $\tilde{H}$. Indeed, the expectation value of $\tilde{H}$ is simply the field Hamiltonian of the Dirac field, or in other words, the energy.

In order to show this, we repeat some of the steps performed in [2]. For convenience, we work in the Poincaré gauge theory framework, i.e., the Lagrangian (1) depends on the fields $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ and their derivatives, as well as on the gravitational background fields $\Gamma^{ab}{}_i, e^a_i$. Then, we consider the derivative of the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}L$,

$$\partial_i \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi}\psi_{,i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi_{,m}}\psi_{,m,i} + \bar{\psi}_{,i}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} + \bar{\psi}_{,m,i}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi_{,m}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Gamma^{ab}{}_m}\Gamma^{ab}{}_{m,i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial e^a_m} e^a_{m,i}. \tag{12}$$

Using the Dirac equations for ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, we find

$$[\sqrt{-g}\; t^i{}_k]_{,i} = -\sigma_{ab}{}^m \Gamma^{ab}{}_{m,k} + T^m_a e^a_{m,k}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$t^i{}_k = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_i \psi)}\,\partial_k \psi \;+\; \partial_k \bar{\psi}\,\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_i \bar{\psi})} \;-\; \delta^i_k L \tag{14}$$

is the canonical stress-energy tensor of the Dirac field, while $\sigma_{ab}{}^{m} = e^{-1}\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta\Gamma^{ab}{}_{m}$ and $T^{m}_{\ a} = -e^{-1}\delta\mathcal{L}/\delta e^{a}_{m}$ are the spin density and the gravitational stress-energy tensor of the Dirac field (i.e., the sources of the gravitational field equations, see [2] for details).

As outlined in [2], the field momentum is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \int \sqrt{-g}\ t^{i}{}_{k}\ \mathrm{d}S_i = \int \sqrt{-g}\ t^{t}{}_{k}\ \mathrm{d}^3x, \tag{15}$$

and the integrated version of (13) reads

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{P}_k = -\int \sqrt{-g}\ [\sigma_{ab}{}^{m}\Gamma^{ab}{}_{m,k} - T^{m}_{\ a}e^{a}_{m,k}]\ \mathrm{d}^3x. \tag{16}$$

This is the usual form of a canonical conservation law, stating that $\mathcal{P}_k$ (for some component k) is conserved whenever the background fields e^{a}_{m} and $\Gamma^{ab}{}_{m}$ do not depend on the specific coordinate x^k. (Quite similarly, if there were, in addition, a background Maxwell field, there would appear at the r.h.s. of (16) a term $\int \sqrt{-g}\ j^m A_{m,k}\ \mathrm{d}^3x$, with the electric current density j^m.)

Especially, for the field Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}_t = \int \sqrt{-g}\ t^{t}{}_{t}\ \mathrm{d}^3x$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{H} = -\int \sqrt{-g}\ [\sigma_{ab}{}^{m}\Gamma^{ab}{}_{m,t} - T^{m}_{\ a}e^{a}_{m,t}]\ \mathrm{d}^3x, \tag{17}$$

meaning that $\mathcal{H}$ is conserved whenever the background fields are time independent. These observations (and the fact that $t^{i}{}_{k}$ can be generalized to include the gravitational fields themselves in a way that $\mathcal{P}^{total}_{k}$ is conserved, see [2]) are sufficient to identify $\mathcal{P}_k$ as the physical momentum of the system, and especially $\mathcal{H}$ as the field energy.

We have already derived in [2] the explicit expression for $\mathcal{H}$ in the flat limit, it is the well known expression

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \psi^\dagger H\psi\ \mathrm{d}^3x = (\psi, H\psi). \tag{18}$$

Therefore, in flat space, the field Hamiltonian (which plays, in quantum field theory, the role of the generator of time translations), is simply given by the expectation value of the Dirac Hamiltonian H, i.e., of the generator of the time translations of the quantum mechanical wave function.

From $t^{t}{}_{t} = (i/2)(\bar{\psi}\gamma^t\partial_t\psi - \partial_t\psi\gamma^t\psi)$, partially integrating using (6) and then the Dirac equation, or, alternatively, first the Dirac equation and then equation (9), it is not hard to show that in the general case, we find

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \sqrt{-g}\ \psi^\dagger(\gamma^0\gamma^t)\tilde{H}\psi\ \mathrm{d}^3x = (\psi, \tilde{H}\psi), \tag{19}$$

with $\tilde{H}$ from (10).

This result not only provides us with an interpretation of $\tilde{H}$, namely as the operator whose expectation values correspond to the energy of the system, but in addition, it justifies, a posteriori, the introduction of this arbitrarily defined operator.

Consequently, the hermitian $\tilde{H}$ should be interpreted as physical energy operator, while H has simply the mathematical interpretation of the time evolution operator. This interpretation can be further founded on the following. If we define, for some operator A, the operator $\mathrm{d}A/\mathrm{d}t$ in the conventional way, i.e., by requiring

$$(\psi, \frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\psi, A\psi), \tag{20}$$

then, as is easy to show, with the inner product (7), we arrive at the usual equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}A = i[H, A] + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}. \tag{21}$$

This relation, together with (3), clarifies the meaning of the operator H.

The fact that both operators do not coincide is not really a problem, since in practice, the use of energy eigenstates makes only sense when the energy is conserved. This holds for time independent background fields, and in that case, we have $H = \tilde{H}$ anyway.

However, it can easily be shown that the momentum operator $p_\mu = i\partial_\mu$ is not hermitian, but that, similar to (9), we have the relation

$$0 = i[(p_\mu\psi, \psi) - (\psi, p_\mu\psi)] + (\psi, [\partial_\mu \ln \sqrt{-g\ g^{tt}}\ ]\psi), \tag{22}$$

and consequently, in analogy to (10), the hermitian operator is given by

$$\tilde{p}_\mu = p_\mu + \frac{i}{2}\partial_\mu \ln \sqrt{-g g^{tt}}. \tag{23}$$

Again, it turns out that the expectation value of this hermitian operator is identical to the field momentum $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ from (15), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = \int \sqrt{-g}\ \psi^\dagger(\gamma^0\gamma^t)\tilde{p}_\mu\psi\ \mathrm{d}^3x = (\psi, \tilde{p}_\mu\psi), \tag{24}$$

in analogy to (19). In contrast to the situation for the Hamiltonian, the correction term in (23) will be different from zero even for the most simple field configurations.

Finally, though unrelated to our discussion, we would like to remark that $T^a{}_m$ in (16) contains the full connection $\Gamma^{ab}{}_i$, and thus the full contortion tensor $K^{ab}{}_i$ (see [1] for the explicit expression). Therefore, although only the axial part of $K^{ab}{}_i$ couples to the Dirac field in (1), the vector and tensor parts may nevertheless influence the field momentum through (16).

3 Non-unitary transformations

In this section, we will make contact with the results previously obtained in literature.

First, consider a transformation A acting on ψ and on operators $\mathcal{O}$ as

$$\psi \to \psi' = A\psi, \quad \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}' = A\mathcal{O}A^{-1}, \tag{25}$$

where, at the same time, the scalar product (7) goes over to the *flat* scalar product, i.e.,

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int \sqrt{-g}\ \psi_1^\dagger(\gamma^0\gamma^t)\psi_2\ \mathrm{d}^3x \quad \to \quad <\psi_1, \psi_2> = \int \psi_1^\dagger\psi_2\ \mathrm{d}^3x \tag{26}$$

We will refer to the tranformed quantities as being in the new representation. We require from A that it does not change physical quantities, i.e., eigenvalues and expectation values. Therefore, we must have

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = <\psi_1', \psi_2'>, \tag{27}$$

which leads to the condition

$$A^\dagger A = \sqrt{-g}\ \gamma^0\gamma^t. \tag{28}$$

If the tetrad is in diagonal form, we have $\gamma^t = e_0^t\gamma^0$, and (28) this can be satisfied by

$$A = (-g\ g^{tt})^{1/4}. \tag{29}$$

If the tetrad is not diagonal, we first Lorentz rotate the vector e_a^t such that $e_\alpha^t = 0$. (Then, of course, the gauge freedom is restricted, which is not unproblematic, because the Hamiltonian, as opposed to the Lagrangian, is not gauge invariant.)

For A satisfying (28), all expectation values remain unchanged, especially the expectation value of H. Also, it is clear that if an operator $\mathcal{O}$ is hermitian with respect to (,), then, the transformed operator $\mathcal{O}'$ will be hermitian with respect to < , >. Therefore, (25) is a purely mathematical operation which does not affect the physics, just as are unitary transformations in special relativity. (In our case, the non-unitary part of A is absorbed by the simultaneous change of the scalar product.)

The Dirac equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} H'\psi' &= AHA^{-1}A\psi = Ai\partial_t\psi \\ &= i\partial_t\psi' - i(\partial_t A)A^{-1}\psi', \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

or, with the help of (29),

$$i\partial_t\psi' = [H' + \frac{i}{2}\partial_t \ln\sqrt{-g\ g^{tt}}]\psi'. \tag{31}$$

If we compare this with (10), we see that in the new representation, the operator $i\partial_t$ can be identified with the operator $\tilde{H}$, i.e., we have $i\partial_t = \tilde{H}'$. Clearly, just as $\tilde{H}$ was hermitian w.r.t. the original scalar product, $i\partial_t$ is hermitian w.r.t. the flat scalar product. Thus, in the new representation, the energy operator is given directly by the time evolution operator.

Note that the operator H', as before, is not hermitian, it satisfies equ. (9), where $(\ , \ )$ is replaced by $< \ , \ >$.

On the other hand, it has been shown that by the transformation

$$H'' = AHA^{-1} - iA\partial_t A^{-1}, \quad \psi'' = A\psi, \tag{32}$$

where A still satisfies (28), the Hamiltonian H is brought into a form that is hermitian w.r.t. the flat scalar product (see [3, 4]). It is not hard to show that this is indeed the case, but we wish to point out that there is more involved here then a mere transformation.

First of all, it is clear that H'' does not have the same eigenvalues as H. Thus, both operators are physically different. Secondly, as opposed to (25), which is applied to every operator, the transformation (32) acts specifically on the Hamiltonian. In the same way, you can bring, e.g., the momentum operator $p_\mu = i\partial_\mu$ into the hermitian form

$$p''_\mu = Ap_\mu A^{-1} - iA\partial_\mu A^{-1}, \tag{33}$$

which is clearly different from (32). Therefore, such transformations are not merely mathematical tools, but are physical redefinitions of the operators H and p_μ. This becomes even more clear if we split the transformations into two steps. First, we perform the mathematical transformation (25), acting on all operators, but not changing any physical quantities. Then, in a second step, we *define* $H'' = H' - iA\partial_t A^{-1}$ (and similarly, $p''_\mu = p' - iA\partial_\mu A^{-1}$), which is simply the definition of new, hermitian operators.

It is now clear that in the previous sections, we did exactly the same thing, namely we redefined H and p_μ in order to find hermitian operators (see (10) and (23)), with the only difference that we did it directly in the initial form, i.e., without performing the first step, which is completely unrelated to the hermiticity properties.

To conclude, we showed that, apart from a purely mathematical change of representation (25), whose purpose is to bring the scalar product into a flat form (such that the hermiticity properties of the operators can be directly read off), the *transformations* (32) and (33) are exactly equivalent to the redefinitions (10) and (23). Therefore, the interpretation of (32) and (33) is that they are physically non-trivial redefinitions and not simply mathematical transformations. They are just as arbitrary as our equations (10) and (23), i.e., they can only be justified by physical arguments, especially by the fact that the resulting operators are hermitian. (Unfortunately, the transformation of the form (32) is sometimes confused with a change of representation, and said not to change physical quantities, because it leaves the Dirac equation $H\psi = i\partial_t\psi$ form-invariant. However, this does not change the fact that H'' is a different operator from H, as can be seen from the eigenvalues. This is because, with a change of the representation, the operator $i\partial_t$ is transformed too.)

The result of section 2, which relates the new operators to the field energy and the field momentum is therefore an a posteriori justification of the transformations (32) and (33) showing that the new, hermitian operators, really correspond to the physical quantities they are supposed to.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by EPEAEK II in the framework of "PYTHAGORAS II - SUPPORT OF RESEARCH GROUPS IN UNIVERSITIES" (funding: 75% ESF - 25% National Funds). Special thanks to Y.N. Obukhov for suggesting a possible relation of our result to the non-unitary transformations previously used in literature and for pointing out important references.

References

[1] F.W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde P, G.D. Kerlick, and J.M. Nester, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48**, 393 (1976)

[2] M. Leclerc, gr-qc/0510044 (Int. J. Mod. Phys. D, in press)

[3] C.G. De Oliveira and J. Tiomno, Nuov. Cim. **24**, 672 (1962)

[4] J. Audretsch, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 243 (1978)

[5] E. Fischbach, B.S. Freeman, and W.K. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2157 (1981)

[6] H. Casini and R. Montemayor, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 7425 (1994)

[7] Y.N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 192 (2001)

[8] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschitz, *Klassische Feldtheorie*, 11. Auflage (1989) (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag Berlin)